

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION

**REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S JUNE 22 ORDER**

In their Response to the Court’s June 22 Order (Dkt. No. 86), Plaintiffs once again seek to dodge the consequences of their choice to sue Judge Mack only in his official capacity. That choice dictates their inability to obtain relief. Plaintiffs contend that the Court should not enforce the consequences of their choice, as that would “render the County immune from any unlawful actions taken by its justices of the peace, *even when the County would otherwise be liable for those actions under Monell.*” (*Id.* at 2 (emphasis added)). But the premise of Plaintiffs’ argument is mistaken. The Fifth Circuit has squarely held that municipalities simply cannot be held liable for *actions taken by judicial officers in their judicial capacity.* See, e.g., *Krueger v. Reimer*, 66 F.3d 75, 77 (5th Cir. 1995) (“A local judge acting in his or her judicial capacity is not considered a local government official whose actions are attributable to the county.”). The County would not “otherwise be liable” for Judge Mack’s judicial actions because a justice of the peace acting in his judicial capacity sets judicial policy for his courts, not for the county. See *Garcia Guevara v. City of Haltom City*, 106 F. App’x 900, 902 (5th Cir. 2004) (rejecting municipal liability where

“relevant [policy] decisions were made . . . by a municipal judge”). Defendants discussed this principle and these cases at length in their Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings.

Plaintiffs next incorrectly infer that because Judge Mack and the County are effectively identical defendants for purposes of this lawsuit, that this also means the County has the ability to control Judge Mack’s courtroom practices. (*See* Dkt. No. 85 at 1.) No precedent supports that notion, and no statute gives the County the power to change Judge Mack’s courtroom proceedings or alter his in-court behavior. As a result, County liability cannot exist because a “policy or act cannot be said to speak for the county if the county has no say in what policy or action the [local official] takes.” *Grech v. Clayton Cty.*, 335 F.3d 1326, 1331 (11th Cir. 2003) (en banc); *see Carbalan v. Vaughn*, 760 F.2d 662, 664-5 (5th Cir. 1985) (holding that a city with “no policy regarding . . . judicial duties” “is not liable simply because [the judge] held the office of municipal judge” as it “exercised no control over [the court’s] operations.”). Nor can Plaintiffs establish Article III standing when no order of this Court to the County could redress their complaint about Judge Mack’s prayer practice.

In short, Plaintiffs seek to escape the reality that counties cannot be held liable for the acts of judges in their judicial capacity. Their attempts to create liability where the Fifth Circuit has already decided it cannot exist should be rejected, and the Court should grant Defendants’ Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (Dkt. No. 65).

Dated: July 13, 2018

**J.D. LAMBRIGHT,  
COUNTY ATTORNEY**

B.D. Griffin  
Assistant County Attorney  
Texas Bar No. 08468500  
S.D. Texas Bar No. 1862  
Adam Anderson  
Texas Bar No. 24090780  
S.D. Texas Bar No. 2914958  
501 North Thompson, Suite 300  
Conroe, TX 77301  
(936) 539-7828  
(936) 760-6920 (facsimile)  
bd.griffin@mctx.org  
adam.anderson@mctx.org

Respectfully submitted,

**BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.**

By: /s/ Amy Pharr Hefley  
Aaron M. Streett  
Texas Bar No. 24037561  
S.D. Texas Bar No. 732596  
Amy Pharr Hefley  
Texas Bar No. 24046046  
Nischay Bhan  
Texas Bar No. 24105468  
S.D. Texas Bar No. 3151238  
One Shell Plaza  
910 Louisiana St.  
Houston, TX 77002  
(713) 229-1234  
(713) 229-1522 (facsimile)  
aaron.streett@bakerbotts.com  
amy.hefley@bakerbotts.com  
nischay.bhan@bakerbotts.com

Vincent Wagner  
Texas Bar No. 24093314  
S.D. Texas Bar No. 3162395  
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 700  
Dallas, TX 75201  
(214) 953-6000  
(214) 661-6503 (facsimile)  
vincent.wagner@bakerbotts.com

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that this document was filed with the Court's Electronic Filing System, which will serve all known Filing Users, on July 13, 2018.

/s/ Amy Pharr Hefley

Amy Pharr Hefley